

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/816,268	03/23/2001	George Harry Hoffman	41556/04724 (RS11P070)	7310	
22428 7	590 11/28/2003		EXAMINER		
FOLEY AND	LARDNER	ZEENDER, FLORIAN M			
SUITE 500	T NIU	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
3000 K STREE WASHINGTO	N, DC 20007	3627			

DATE MAILED: 11/28/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•			Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/816,26	3	HOFFMAN ET AL.					
			Examiner		Art Unit				
			F. Ryan Ze		3627				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the c ver sheet with the corresponding address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status									
1)⊠	_								
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
3)□	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims									
 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 									
	ion Papers			1					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 06 August 2001 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.									
2) Notic	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (f mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) F			4) Interview Summary 5) Nolice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/816,268

Art Unit: 3627

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

The basis of this rejection is set forth in a two-prong test of:

- (1) whether the invention is within the technological arts; and
- (2) whether the invention produces a useful, concrete, and tangible result.

For a claimed invention to be statutory, the claimed invention must be within the technological arts. Mere ideas in the abstract (i.e., abstract idea, law of nature, natural phenomena) that do not apply, involve, use, or advance the technological arts fail to promote the "progress of science and the useful arts" (i.e., the physical sciences as opposed to social sciences, for example) and therefore are found to be non-statutory subject matter. For a process claim, the recited process must somehow apply, involve, use, or advance the technological arts.

In the present case, the claims only recite an abstract idea. The recited steps and associated system of merely managing risk in a supply chain <u>does not apply</u>, <u>involve</u>, <u>use</u>, <u>or advance the technological arts</u> since all of the recited steps/limitations can be performed in the mind of the user or by use of a pencil and paper. These steps/limitations only constitute an idea of how to manage the supply chain.

Application/Control Number: 09/816,268

Art Unit: 3627

Additionally, for a claimed invention to be statutory, the claimed invention must produce a useful, concrete, and tangible result. In the present case, it is not clear what the "tangible" result is.

Because the invention does not have a clear tangible result and the claimed invention, as a whole, is not within the technological arts, the claims are deemed to be directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, line 14, it is not clear whether the terminology "a commodity position price" refers to the same commodity position price described in line 12, or to a separate distinct price. In claim 5, line 1, it is not clear whether the terminology "an actual market price" refers to the same market price described in line 9 of claim 1, or to a separate distinct price.

In claim 9, line 1, "the determining" lacks antecedent basis.

In claim 10, lines 14-15, it is not clear whether the terminology "a commodity position price" refers to the same commodity position price described in line 12, or to a separate distinct price. In claim 14, line 1, it is not clear whether the terminology "an

Application/Control Number: 09/816,268

Art Unit: 3627

actual market price" refers to the same market price described in line 9 of claim 10, or to a separate distinct price.

In claim 17, lines 15-16, it is not clear whether the terminology "a commodity position price" refers to the same commodity position price described in line 13, or to a separate distinct price.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shavit et al. in view of the cited "Production and Operations Management" manual.

Shavit et al. disclose, or inherently teach, all of the limitations of the claims including a supply chain management framework whereby supply agreements are conducted online between buyers and sellers; but lacks the specifics of an appointed manager negotiating the supply agreements; the negotiation including pricing point information.

The cited "Production and Operations Management" manual teaches the responsibilities of an appointed manager.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Shavit et al. to appoint a manager to negotiate agreements with sellers of goods, in view of the cited "Production and Operations Management" manual, Application/Control Number: 09/816,268 Page 5

Art Unit: 3627

in order to provide a means for outlets to manage levels of inventory and total cost of goods, as is well known in the business (see for example, the Operations Management manual, page 487).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to F. Ryan Zeender whose telephone number is (703) 308-8351. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bob Olszewski can be reached on (703) 308-5183. The receptionist's phone number for the Technology center is (703) 308-1113.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

F. Zeender

Primary Examiner, A.U. 3627

WZ______ 11/17/03

November 17, 2003